

# Math Tripos Part IA: Vectors and Matrices

Michael Li

May 21, 2015

## Complex numbers

Review of complex numbers, including complex conjugate, inverse, modulus, argument and Argand diagram. Informal treatment of complex logarithm,  $n$ -th roots and complex powers. de Moivre's theorem. [2]

## Vectors

Review of elementary algebra of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , including scalar product. Brief discussion of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ; scalar product and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Concepts of linear span, linear independence, subspaces, basis and dimension.

Suffix notation: including summation convention,  $\delta_{ij}$  and  $\epsilon_{ijk}$ . Vector product and triple product: definition and geometrical interpretation. Solution of linear vector equations. Applications of vectors to geometry, including equations of lines, planes and spheres. [5]

## Matrices

Elementary algebra of  $3 \times 3$  matrices, including determinants. Extension to  $n \times n$  complex matrices. Trace, determinant, non-singular matrices and inverses. Matrices as linear transformations; examples of geometrical actions including rotations, reflections, dilations, shears; kernel and image. [4]

Simultaneous linear equations: matrix formulation; existence and uniqueness of solutions, geometric interpretation; Gaussian elimination. [3]

Symmetric, anti-symmetric, orthogonal, hermitian and unitary matrices. Decomposition of a general matrix into isotropic, symmetric trace-free and antisymmetric parts. [1]

## Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

Eigenvalues and eigenvectors; geometric significance. [2]

Proof that eigenvalues of hermitian matrix are real, and that distinct eigenvalues give an orthogonal basis of eigenvectors. The effect of a general change of basis (similarity transformations). Diagonalization of general matrices: sufficient conditions; examples of matrices that cannot be diagonalized. Canonical forms for  $2 \times 2$  matrices. [5]

Discussion of quadratic forms, including change of basis. Classification of conics, cartesian and polar forms. [1]

Rotation matrices and Lorentz transformations as transformation groups. [1]

## **Contents**

# 1 Complex Numbers

## 1.1 Basic Properties

**Definition.** A *complex number* is a number  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  of form  $a + bi$  or  $re^{i\theta} = r(\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ . *Modulus* is defined as  $|z| = r = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$  and *argument* is  $\theta = \arg z = \tan^{-1}(\frac{y}{x})$ . We take the argument to within the *principle value* of  $-\pi < \theta \leq \pi$  to ensure unique representation. The *complex conjugate* of  $z$  is  $\bar{z} = z^* = a - ib$ .

**Definition.** An *Argand diagram* is a 2D diagram with real numbers on the "x-axis" and complex numbers on the "y-axis". A complex number is represented by vector  $\mathbf{p} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$ .

### 1.1.1 Basic Theorems of Complex Numbers

- (i) (Triangle Inequality)  $|z_1 + z_2| \leq |z_1| + |z_2|$
- (ii) (De Moivre's Theorem+Extension)  $\cos n\theta + i \sin n\theta = (\cos \theta + i \sin \theta)^n$ .  
(Proof by induction) Also, multiply the moduli and add the arguments when multiplying two complex numbers.

## 1.2 Complex exponential function

The exponential function is defined as usual (see D.E.). Using the lemma below (expand to see it is trivially true):

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_{mn} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^r a_{r-m,m}$$

We can prove that  $e^{z_1} e^{z_2} = e^{z_1+z_2}$ :

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(z_1) \exp(z_2) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{z_1^m z_2^n}{m! n!} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^r \frac{z_1^{r-m} z_2^m}{(r-m)! m!} = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{m=0}^r \frac{r!}{(r-m)! m!} z_1^{r-m} z_2^m \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{(z_1 + z_2)^r}{r!} \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem.** When  $\sin z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n+1)!} z^{2n+1}$  and  $\cos z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{(2n)!} z^{2n}$  (defined in power series),  $e^{iz} = \cos z + i \sin z$

*Proof.* The result is immediate after expansion and comparison of the two power series.  $\square$

### 1.3 Roots of Unity

**Definition.** The  $n$ -th roots of unity are roots of  $z^n = 1$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , or  $\exp\left(2\pi i \frac{k}{n}\right)$  for  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ .

**Proposition.** If  $\omega = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right)$ , then  $1 + \omega + \omega^2 + \dots + \omega^{n-1} = 1$

*Proof.*

- (i) Coefficient of  $z^{n-1}$  is 0 so by Vieta's Theorem, the sum of roots is 0.
- (ii)  $z^n = 1 \Rightarrow z^n - 1 = 0 \Rightarrow (z-1)(z^{n-1} + \dots + 1) = 0$ . Clearly  $z \neq 1$ , so  $z^{n-1} + \dots + 1 = 0$

$\square$

### 1.4 Complex logarithm and power

**Definition.** Logarithm is defined as  $\log z = \log r e^{i\theta} = \log r + i\theta$ . Power is defined as  $z^\alpha = e^{\alpha \log z}$ . We use the principle value to make the two single-valued.

### 1.5 Lines and circles in $\mathbb{C}$

**Theorem.** Straight line in  $\mathbb{C}$  is  $z = z_0 + \lambda \omega$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\frac{z-z_0}{\omega} = \lambda = \bar{\lambda} = \frac{\bar{z}-\bar{z}_0}{\bar{\omega}}$ , we have:

$$z\bar{\omega} - \bar{z}\omega = z_0\bar{\omega} - \bar{z}_0\omega$$

For circles, we have:

$$|z - c| = \rho$$

## 2 Vectors

### 2.1 Definition and basic properties

**Definition.** A vector  $\mathbf{v}$  has length and direction. If  $|\mathbf{v}| = 0$ , then  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$ . A unit vector is one with length 1, denoted  $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ . Vectors have addition and scalar multiplication. Vectors with addition forms a group and *Scalar multiplication* satisfies axioms:

(i)  $(\lambda + \mu)\mathbf{a} = (\lambda + \mu)\mathbf{a}$ ,  $\lambda(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}) = \lambda\mathbf{a} + \lambda\mathbf{b}$ .

(ii)  $\lambda(\mu\mathbf{a}) = \mu(\lambda\mathbf{a}) = (\mu\lambda)\mathbf{a}$ .

(iii)  $1\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}$ .

**Note.**  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a vector space (addition+scalar multiplication). A vector space has to contain  $\mathbf{0}$ !

## 2.2 Scalar product

### 2.2.1 Geometric Picture

**Definition** (Scalar product).  $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = |\mathbf{a}||\mathbf{b}| \cos \theta$ . It satisfies the following properties:

(i)  $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{a}$

(ii)  $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = |\mathbf{a}|^2 \geq 0$

(iii)  $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0$  iff  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$

(iv) If  $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \neq 0$ , then  $\mathbf{a} \perp \mathbf{b}$ .

The projection of  $\mathbf{b}$  onto  $\mathbf{a}$  is given by  $|\mathbf{b}| \cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{a}} = (\hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \hat{\mathbf{a}}$

### 2.2.2 General algebraic definition

**Definition.** In a vector space  $V$ , the *inner product* maps  $V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , denoted  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}$  or  $\langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle$  with:

(i) (Symmetry)  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y} \cdot \mathbf{x}$

(ii) (Linearity in 2nd argument)  $\mathbf{x}(\lambda\mathbf{y} + \mu\mathbf{z}) = \lambda\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} + \mu\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{z}$

(iii) (Positive definite)  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{x} \geq 0$  with equality iff  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

**Note.** linearity in 1st argument is only true for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Also  $|\mathbf{a}| = \sqrt{\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}}$  is the norm of the vector.

### 2.3 Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

**Theorem** (Cauchy-Schwarz inequality).  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} \leq |\mathbf{x}||\mathbf{y}|$  for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.*

$$|\mathbf{x} - \lambda\mathbf{y}|^2 \geq 0 \Rightarrow \lambda^2|\mathbf{y}|^2 - \lambda(2\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y}) + |\mathbf{x}|^2 \geq 0$$

Viewing this as a quadratic in  $\lambda$ , the quadratic is non-negative and thus the determinant  $\Delta \leq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} 4(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})^2 &\leq 4|\mathbf{y}|^2|\mathbf{x}|^2 \\ \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} &\leq |\mathbf{x}||\mathbf{y}| \end{aligned}$$

□

**Example.**

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}|^2 &= |\mathbf{x}|^2 + 2\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} + |\mathbf{y}|^2 \leq |\mathbf{x}|^2 + 2|\mathbf{x}||\mathbf{y}| + |\mathbf{y}|^2 = (|\mathbf{x}| + |\mathbf{y}|)^2 \\ \Rightarrow |\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}| &\leq |\mathbf{x}| + |\mathbf{y}| \end{aligned}$$

### 2.4 Vector product

**Definition.** Consider  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Define the *vector product*

$$\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} = |\mathbf{a}||\mathbf{b}| \sin \theta \hat{\mathbf{n}},$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \perp \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$  in a right-handed sense. The vector product satisfies:

- (i)  $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} = -\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{a}$ .
- (ii)  $\mathbf{a} \times \lambda\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$ .
- (iii)  $\mathbf{a} \times (\lambda\mathbf{b}) = \lambda(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b})$ .
- (iv)  $\mathbf{a} \times (\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{c}$ .

The area of a triangle  $ABC$  is given by  $\frac{1}{2}|\overrightarrow{OA}||\overrightarrow{OB}| \sin \theta = \frac{1}{2}|\overrightarrow{OA} \times \overrightarrow{OB}|$ .

### 2.5 Scalar triple product

**Definition** (Scalar triple product). The *scalar triple product* is defined as

$$[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}] = \mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}).$$

We have  $[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}] = [\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a}] = [\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}] = -[\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}] = -[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b}] = -[\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}]$ . It represents volume of a parallelepiped with sides  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$  in a right-handed system.

## 2.6 Spanning sets and bases

### 2.6.1 2D space

**Definition** (Spanning set). A set of vectors  $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$  spans  $\mathbb{R}^2$  if for all vectors  $\mathbf{r}$ , there exists some  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\mathbf{r} = \lambda\mathbf{a} + \mu\mathbf{b}$ . The coefficients are unique if  $\mathbf{a} \neq \kappa\mathbf{b}$ . (Proof below).

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathbf{r} = \lambda\mathbf{a} + \mu\mathbf{b} = \lambda'\mathbf{a} + \mu'\mathbf{b}$ . So  $\mathbf{a} = \frac{\mu' - \mu}{\lambda - \lambda'}\mathbf{b}$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Definition.** Two vectors  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  are *linearly independent* if for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha\mathbf{a} + \beta\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0}$  iff  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ . A *basis* is a set of vectors that spans  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and are linearly independent, e.g.  $\{\hat{\mathbf{i}}, \hat{\mathbf{j}}\}$ .

### 2.6.2 $\mathbb{R}^n$ Space

**Definition.** A set of vectors  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3 \cdots \mathbf{v}_m\}$  are *linearly independent* if

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \forall i (\lambda_i = 0).$$

**Definition.** A set of vectors  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3 \cdots \mathbf{u}_m\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is a *spanning set* of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if

$$\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \exists \lambda_i \text{ such that } \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \mathbf{u}_i = \mathbf{x}$$

**Definition** (Basis vectors). A *basis* of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is a linearly independent spanning set. The standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is  $\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, 0, \cdots, 0), \cdots, \mathbf{e}_n = (0, 0, 0, \cdots, 1)$ . The number of vectors in basis of vector space is called the *dimension* of vector space. It is  $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}$  is *orthonormal* if  $\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_i = 1$  for all  $i$ .

**Definition** (Scalar product). The *scalar product* of  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is defined as  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \sum x_i y_i$ .

### 2.6.3 $\mathbb{C}^n$ space

**Definition.**  $\mathbb{C}^n = \{(z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) : z_i \in \mathbb{C}\}$ .  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \sum \bar{u}_i v_i$ . Scalar product has same properties except  $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \overline{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}}$  and  $(\lambda\mathbf{u} + \mu\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w} = \bar{\lambda}\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w} + \bar{\mu}\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}$ .

## 2.7 Vector subspaces

**Definition** (Vector subspace). A non-empty subset  $U$  of a vector space  $V$  is  $U$  is a *vector subspace* with the same operations as  $V$ . Both  $V$  and  $\{0\}$  are subspaces of  $V$ . All others are proper subspaces.

A subset  $U$  of  $V$  is a subspace if  $U$  is non-empty and for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in U$ ,  $(\lambda\mathbf{x} + \mu\mathbf{y}) \in U$ .

**Example.**  $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then  $\{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}\}$  is a basis of the 2D subspace

Suppose  $x, y \in \text{span}\{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{x} &= \alpha_1(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{c}) + \beta_1(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}), \mathbf{y} = \alpha_2(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{c}) + \beta_2(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}) \\ \lambda\mathbf{x} + \mu\mathbf{y} &= (\lambda\alpha_1 + \mu\alpha_2)(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{c}) + (\lambda\beta_1 + \mu\beta_2)(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c})\end{aligned}$$

So it is a subspace. To check the two vectors is a basis,  $\alpha(\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{c}) + \beta(\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}) = \alpha\mathbf{a} + \beta\mathbf{b} + (\alpha + \beta)\mathbf{c} = 0$  Since  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$  is a basis  $\Rightarrow \alpha = \beta = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}$  L.I. Thus they are a basis (clearly spans).

## 2.8 Suffix Notation

We can write vector equations in form:  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b} \rightarrow a_i = b_i$   $\mathbf{c} = \alpha\mathbf{a} + \beta\mathbf{b} \rightarrow c_i = \alpha a_i + \beta b_i$

A vector has one free suffix  $i$ . A scalar has no free suffix. consider scalar  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \sum x_i y_i$

Here  $i$  is repeated once - a dummy suffix. (the dummy suffix doesn't matter)

Einstein's summation convention: "drop  $\sum$  if we have repeated suffix, summation understood."

### Rules

- (i) Suffice appears once in a term: free suffix
- (ii) suffix appears twice in a term: dummy suffix, summed over
- (iii) If it appears  $\geq 3$  times then it is WRONG!

**Example.**  $[(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})\mathbf{c} - (\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{a})\mathbf{b}] = a_j b_j c_i - a_j c_j b_i$  summing over  $j$  understood

**Definition.** The *Kronecker Delta* is  $\delta_{ij}$

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

Properties:  $a_i \delta_{ij} = a_j$ ,  $\delta_{ij} \delta_{jk} = \delta_{ik}$ ,  $\delta_{ii} = 3$  (or  $n$  in  $\mathbf{r}^n$ ),  $a_p \delta_{pq} b_q = a_p b_p$



**Definition.** The *Alternating symbol*  $\epsilon_{ijk}$

$$\epsilon_{123} = \epsilon_{231} = \epsilon_{312} = 1 \text{ (Even permutations)}$$

$$\epsilon_{132} = \epsilon_{213} = \epsilon_{321} = -1 \text{ (Odd permutations)}$$

It is 0 if any of the numbers repeat.

### Properties

$$(i) \epsilon_{ijk}\delta_{jk} = \epsilon_{ijj} = 0$$

$$(ii) \text{ If } a_{jk} = a_{kj} \epsilon_{ijk}a_{jk} = \epsilon_{ijk}a_{kj} = -\epsilon_{ikj}a_{kj} = -\epsilon_{ijk}a_{jk} = 0$$

$$(iii) (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b})_i = \epsilon_{ijk}a_jb_k, \text{ in 3D, } \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} = \begin{vmatrix} \hat{\mathbf{i}} & \hat{\mathbf{j}} & \hat{\mathbf{k}} \\ a_1 & a_2 & a_3 \\ b_1 & b_2 & b_3 \end{vmatrix}$$

**Lemma.**  $\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{ipq} = \delta_{jp}\delta_{kq} - \delta_{jq}\delta_{kp}$  (Equally  $\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{pqk} = \delta_{ip}\delta_{jq} - \delta_{jp}\delta_{iq}$ ,  $\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{pjq} = \delta_{ip}\delta_{kq} - \delta_{iq}\delta_{kp}$ )

*Proof.* Proof by exhaustion.

$$\begin{aligned} RHS &= +1 \text{ if } j = p \text{ and } k = q \\ &= -1 \text{ if } j = q \text{ and } k = p \\ &= 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

LHS: summing over  $i$ , only nonzero terms are when  $j, k \neq i$  and  $p, q \neq i$ . If  $j = p$  and  $k = q$ , LHS  $(-1)^2$  or  $1^2 = 1$ . If  $j = q$  and  $k = p$ , LHS  $1 * (-1)$  or  $-1 * (1) = -1$ . All other possibilities  $\rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

### 2.8.1 Vector Triple Product

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} \times (\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}) &= \epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{kpq}a_jb_pc_q = \epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{pqk}a_jb_pc_q \\ &= (\delta_{ip}\delta_{jq} - \delta_{iq}\delta_{jp})a_jb_kc_q = a_jb_ic_j - a_jc_ib_j \\ &= (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c})b_i - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})c_i = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c})\mathbf{b} - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})\mathbf{c} \end{aligned}$$

but  $(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \times \mathbf{c} = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c})\mathbf{b} - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{c})\mathbf{a}$ . (vector products are not associative)

### 2.8.2 Spherical Trig

**Lemma.**  $(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b})(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{c}) = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a})(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{c}) - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c})$

*Proof.*  $(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b})(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{c}) = (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b})_i(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{c})_i = \epsilon_{ijk}a_jb_k\epsilon_{ipq}a_p c_q = (\delta_{jp}\delta_{kq} - \delta_{jq}\delta_{kp})a_jb_k a_p c_q = a_jb_k a_j c_k - a_jb_k a_k c_j = (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a})(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{c}) - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c})$   $\square$

Consider unit sphere, centre O, points a,b,c on surface such that  $|a| = |b| = |c| = 1$

Consider circle centre O containing A and B (angle is  $\delta(A, B)$ ) arc length  $AB = \delta(A, B)$

$\alpha$  is the angle between planes OAB and OAC = angle between normals to planes OAB and OAC = angle between  $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{c}$

$$\cos \alpha = \frac{(\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}) \cdot (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{c})}{|\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}| |\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{c}|} = \frac{\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{c} - (\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c})}{|\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}| |\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{c}|}$$

From this we can have the cosine rule for spherical triangles:

$$\cos \alpha \sin \delta(A, B) \sin \delta(A, C) = \cos \delta(B, C) - \cos \delta(A, B) \cos \delta(A, C)$$

We can deduce from this that  $\alpha > 60$  for any nontrivial equilateral spherical triangle.

## 2.9 Geometry

### 2.9.1 Lines

Any line through  $\mathbf{a}$  and parallel to  $\mathbf{t}$  can be written as

$$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{a} + \lambda \mathbf{t}.$$

Taking  $\times \mathbf{t}$ , we have  $(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}) \times \mathbf{t} = \mathbf{0}$ .

### 2.9.2 Plane

The normal  $\mathbf{n}$  of a plane is perpendicular to a vector  $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}$  contained in the plane ( $\mathbf{b}$  is a fixed point). Alternatively, we know  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$  lie in the plane, so the equation of a plane is:

$$\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{n} = \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{n} \text{ or } (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}) \cdot [(\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}) \times (\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{a})] = 0.$$

**Example.** (Distance between two lines) Let  $L_1$  be  $(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_1) \times \mathbf{t}_1 = 0$  and  $L_2$  be  $(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{a}_2) \times \mathbf{t}_2 = 0$ .

The line of closest approach is perpendicular to both lines and thus parallel to  $\mathbf{t}_1 \times \mathbf{t}_2$ . The distance  $s$  can then be found by projecting  $\mathbf{a}_1 - \mathbf{a}_2$  onto  $\mathbf{t}_1 \times \mathbf{t}_2$ .

$$\text{Thus } s = \left| (\mathbf{a}_1 - \mathbf{a}_2) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{t}_1 \times \mathbf{t}_2}{|\mathbf{t}_1 \times \mathbf{t}_2|} \right|.$$

## 2.10 Vector equations

**Example.**  $\mathbf{x} - (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{a}) \times \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c}$ . Strategy: take the dot or cross of the equation with suitable vectors.

$$(\mathbf{x} - (\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{a}) \times \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{b} \Rightarrow \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{b}$$

Substituting this into the original equation, we have

$$\mathbf{x}(1 + \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{c} + (\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{b})\mathbf{a}$$

If  $(1 + \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b})$  is non-zero, then

$$\mathbf{x} = \frac{\mathbf{c} + (\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{b})\mathbf{a}}{1 + \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}}$$

If  $(1 + \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}) = 0$  &  $\mathbf{c} + (\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{b})\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , then a contradiction is reached. Otherwise,  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{b}$ , which is a plane of solutions.

## 3 Matrices+Linear maps

### 3.1 Examples

#### 3.1.1 Rotation in 3D

Map  $r : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 (\mathbf{x}' = R(\mathbf{x}))$

First, rotate by  $\theta$  about z axis. The matrix is:  $R_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1. \end{pmatrix}$

General case:  $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} \cos \theta + (1 - \cos \theta)(\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathbf{x})\hat{\mathbf{n}} + \hat{\mathbf{x}} \times \mathbf{x} \sin \theta$  ( $x'_i = R_{ij}x_j$ )

$$\Rightarrow R_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \cos \theta + (1 - \cos \theta)n_i n_j - \epsilon_{ijk} n_k \sin \theta$$

#### 3.1.2 Reflection in $\mathbb{R}^3$

Reflect  $\mathbf{x}$  in plane with normal  $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$  through  $\mathbf{0}$ :

$$\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} - 2(\mathbf{x} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})\hat{\mathbf{n}} \Rightarrow x'_i = x_i - 2x_j \hat{n}_j \hat{n}_i \Rightarrow R_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - 2\hat{n}_i \hat{n}_j$$

(The projection of  $\mathbf{x}$  onto plane is  $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} - (\mathbf{x} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}})\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ )

### 3.2 Linear maps

**Definition.** Consider sets  $A$  and  $B$  and mapping  $T : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $\mathbf{x} \in A$  is mapped to a unique  $\mathbf{x}' = T(\mathbf{x}) \in B$ .  $A$  is the *domain* of  $T$ .  $B$  is the *codomain* of  $T$ .  $T(A)$  is the *image*, not necessarily whole of  $B$ . Typically, we have  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  or  $T : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$

**Definition.** Let  $V, W$  be real (complex) vector spaces, and  $T : V \rightarrow W$ . Then  $T$  is a linear map if  $T(\lambda\mathbf{a} + \mu\mathbf{b}) = \lambda T(\mathbf{a}) + \mu T(\mathbf{b})$ . Translation, rotation, projection and reflection are linear maps.

**Definition.** Consider  $f : U \rightarrow V$ . The *image* of  $f$  is the subset of  $V$   $\{f(u) : \forall u \in U\}$ . The *kernel* of  $f$  is the subset of  $U$   $\{u \in U : f(u) = \mathbf{0}\}$ .

**Example.** Rotation in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ : Kernel is  $\{0\}$ , image is  $\{\mathbb{R}^3\}$

**Theorem.** Consider a linear map  $f : U \rightarrow V$ , where  $U, V$  are vector spaces. Then  $\text{Im}(f)$  is a subspace of  $V$ , and  $\ker(f)$  is a subspace of  $U$ .

*Proof.* If  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \text{Im}(f)$ , then  $\exists \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in U$  such that  $\mathbf{x} = f(\mathbf{a}), \mathbf{y} = f(\mathbf{b})$ . Then  $\lambda\mathbf{x} + \mu\mathbf{y} = \lambda f(\mathbf{a}) + \mu f(\mathbf{b}) = f(\lambda\mathbf{a} + \mu\mathbf{b})$ . Now  $\lambda\mathbf{a} + \mu\mathbf{b} \in U$ , so  $\lambda\mathbf{x} + \mu\mathbf{y} \in \text{Im}(f)$  and  $\text{Im}(f)$  is a subspace of  $V$ .

If  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \ker(f)$ , then  $f(\lambda\mathbf{x} + \mu\mathbf{y}) = \lambda f(\mathbf{x}) + \mu f(\mathbf{y}) = \lambda\mathbf{0} + \mu\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$ . So,  $\lambda\mathbf{x} + \mu\mathbf{y} \in \ker(f)$ .  $\square$

### 3.3 Rank and Nullity

**Definition.** The rank of  $f$ , denoted  $r(f)$ , is the dimension of the image of  $f$ . The nullity of  $f$ , denoted  $n(f)$ , is the dimension of the kernel of  $f$ .

**Theorem** (The Rank Nullity Theorem). For linear map  $f : U \rightarrow V$ ,  $U, V$  vector spaces

$$r(f) + n(f) = \dim(U) \quad 3.9$$

*Proof not in exam.* It extends basis of kernel to basis of  $U$  and prove extension is a basis of image.  $\square$

**Example.** Calculate kernel and image given by  $f(x, y, z) = (x + y + z, 2x - y + 5z, x + 2z)$ .

Step 1: find  $\ker f$  through solving equations  $\ker f = \text{any vector of the form } (-2z, z, z) = \text{span}(-2, 1, 1)$   $n(f) = 1$

Step 2: Extend basis of  $\ker f$  to a basis of whole of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :  $(-2, 1, 1), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)$

Step 3: Apply  $f$  to this basis:  $f(-2, 1, 1) = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $f(0, 1, 0) = (1, -1, 0)$ ,  $f(0, 0, 1) = (1, 5, 2)$

Step 4: From proof of rank-nullity theorem,  $(1,-1,0)$  and  $(1,5,2)$  is a basis of the image.  $r(f) = 2$

$\text{Im } f = \text{span}\{(1, -1, 0), (1, 5, 2)\}$ . Normal to plane is  $(1,1,3)$

### 3.4 Matrices

#### 3.4.1 Basic properties of Matrices

**Definition** (Matrix). *Matrix* is defined as the form of linear map  $\alpha$  in  $x'_i = A_{ij}x_j$ , with  $A_{ij} = [\alpha(\mathbf{e}_j)]_i$ . We have  $A_{ij}$  is in  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column.  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix.

#### 3.4.2 Matrix Algebra

**Definition.** Matrix operations are defined as followed:

- (i) *Addition* of Matrices is defined as "element" addition in each Matrix.
- (ii) *Scalar multiplication* multiplies the scalar to each element in the matrix.
- (iii) *Matrix multiplication* is:  $(AB)_{ij} = A_{ik}B_{kj}$ , i.e.  $i$ th row of A dotted with  $j$ th column of B.

**Definition.** The *transpose* of a matrix is defined by  $(A^T)_{ij} = A_{ji}$ . It satisfies  $(AB)^T = B^T A^T$ . A matrix is *symmetric* if  $A^T = A$ , *anti-symmetric* if  $A^T = -A$ .

The *Hermitian conjugate* is defined as  $A^\dagger = (A^T)^*$ . Similar to transpose,  $(AB)^\dagger = B^\dagger A^\dagger$ . A matrix is *Hermitian* if  $A^\dagger = A$ , *skew-Hermitian* if  $A^\dagger = -A$ .

**Definition.** The *trace* of an  $n \times n$  matrix A is the sum of terms on the diagonal.  $\text{tr}(BC) = \text{tr}(CB)$ .

#### 3.4.3 Decomposition of $n \times n$ matrix

Any  $n \times n$  matrix B can be split as a sum of symmetric and anti-symmetric parts. Write:

$$B_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(B_{ij} + B_{ji}) + \frac{1}{2}(B_{ij} - B_{ji}) = S_{ij} + A_{ij}$$

Furthermore, we can decompose  $S$  into an isotropic part (a scalar multiple of the identity) plus a trace-less part (i.e. a matrix with zero trace): Write

$$S_{ij} = \frac{1}{n}\text{tr}(S)\delta_{ij} + (S_{ij} - \frac{1}{n}\text{tr}(S)\delta_{ij}) = \frac{1}{n}\text{tr}(S)I_{ij} + T_{ij}$$

$$\text{Trace}(T_{ij}) = T_{ii} = S_{ii} - \frac{1}{n}\text{tr}(S)\delta_{ii} = 0$$

Putting all this together:

$$B = \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(B)I + \left(\frac{1}{2}(B + B^T) - \frac{1}{n} \text{tr}(B)I\right) + A$$

In 3D,  $A$  can be written as:  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & -b \\ -a & 0 & -c \\ b & c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Now we have  $\epsilon_{ijk}w_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_3 & -w_2 \\ -w_3 & 0 & w_1 \\ w_2 & -w_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

### 3.4.4 Matrix Inverse

Consider  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$ ,  $n \times m$  matrix  $B$ ,  $n \times n$  matrix  $C$ :

If  $BA = I$ , then  $B$  is the *left inverse* of  $A$ . If  $AC = I$ ,  $C$  is the *right inverse* of  $A$ . If  $A$  is a square matrix, then  $B = C$  and is denoted  $A^{-1}$ , the *inverse* of  $A$ . If  $A^{-1}$  exists then  $A$  is said to be *invertible*.  $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$ .

**Definition.** A real  $n \times n$  matrix is *orthogonal* if  $A^T A = AA^T = I$ , i.e.  $A^T = A^{-1}$ . e.g. Reflection and rotations. A complex  $n \times n$  matrix is *unitary* if  $U^+ U = UU^+ = I$ , i.e.  $U^+ = U^{-1}$

**Note.** Rows/columns of an orthogonal or unitary matrix form an orthonormal set.

## 3.5 Determinants

Consider a linear map  $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ , Standard basis  $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3 \rightarrow \mathbf{e}'_1, \mathbf{e}'_2, \mathbf{e}'_3$  with  $\mathbf{e}'_i = A\mathbf{e}_i$  Unit cube formed by the original unit vectors has volume:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \epsilon_{ijk}(e'_1)_i(e'_2)_j(e'_3)_k = \epsilon_{ijk}A_{il}(e_1)_l A_{jm}(e_2)_m A_{kn}(e_3)_n \\ &= \epsilon_{ijk}A_{i1}A_{j2}A_{k3} \end{aligned}$$

This is called the determinant,  $\det(A)$ .  $\det(A)$  is

$$\equiv \begin{vmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} \end{vmatrix} \quad (3.21)$$

### 3.5.1 Permutations

**Definition.** The *Levi-Civita* symbol by

$$\epsilon_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_n} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } j_1 j_2 j_3 \dots j_n \text{ is an even permutation of } 1, 2, \dots, n \\ -1 & \text{if it is an odd permutation} \\ 0 & \text{if any 2 of them are equal} \end{cases}$$

**Definition.** The determinant is defined as:

$$\det(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \epsilon(\sigma) A_{\sigma(1)1} A_{\sigma(2)2} \cdots A_{\sigma nn} = \det(A) = \epsilon_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_n} A_{j_1,1} A_{j_2,2} \cdots A_{j_n,n} \quad 3.25a$$

### 3.5.2 Properties of determinants

**Proposition.** (i)  $\det(A) = \det(A^T)$

(ii) If B only differs from A in a single row by a scalar  $\lambda$ , we have  $\det(B) = \lambda \det(A)$

(iii) Adding a linear multiple of a row/column to another row/column does not change the determinant.

(iv)  $\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B)$

*Proof.* (i) Let  $\rho$  be another permutation in  $S_n$

$$A_{\sigma(1)1} A_{\sigma(2)2} \cdots A_{\sigma nn} = A_{\sigma(\rho(1))\rho(1)} \cdots A_{\sigma(\rho(n))\rho(n)}$$

Because RHS is just reordering LHS. Choose  $\rho = \sigma^{-1}$ , and note that  $\epsilon(\sigma) = \epsilon(\rho)$ , so:

$$\det(A) = \sum_{\rho \in S_n} A_{1\rho(1)} \cdots A_{n\rho n} = \det(A^T)$$

(ii) Every item in sum is multiplied by  $\lambda$ , so result follows.

(iii) Let B be the matrix after addition, then  $\det(B) = \det(A) + \lambda \det(\text{matrix with two identical columns}) = \det(A) \Rightarrow \det(B) = \det(A)$ .

(iv)

$$\begin{aligned} \det(AB) &= \sum_r \epsilon(\sigma) (AB)_{\sigma(1)1} \cdots (AB)_{\sigma(n)n} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma} \epsilon(\sigma) \sum_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n}^n A_{\sigma(1)k_1} B_{k_1 1} \cdots A_{\sigma(n)k_n} B_{k_n n} \\ &= \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_n} B_{k_1 1} \cdots B_{k_n n} \underbrace{\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} A_{\sigma(1)k_1} \cdots A_{\sigma(n)k_n} \epsilon(\sigma)}_S \end{aligned}$$

If in  $S$  two of  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  are equal then  $S$  is the determinant of a matrix with 2 equal columns. So only need to consider distinct  $k_1 \dots k_n$ , thus a permutation, say  $\rho(i)$ . Hence we continue:

$$= \det A \sum_{\rho} \epsilon(\rho) B_{\rho(1)1} \cdots B_{\rho(n)n} = \det A \det B$$

□

Now from these properties we have  $\det A = \pm 1$  if  $A$  is orthogonal. If  $A$  is unitary,  $|\det A| = 1$ .

### 3.5.3 Minors and Cofactors

**Definition.** For an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ , define  $A^{ij}$  to be the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix with row  $i$  and column  $j$  removed. The *minor* of the  $ij^{\text{th}}$  element of  $A$ , denoted  $M_{ij} = \det A^{ij}$ . The *cofactor* of the  $ij^{\text{th}}$  element of  $A$ , denoted  $\Delta_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} M_{ij}$ . Thus:

$$\det(A) = \sum_{j_I=1}^n A_{j_I I} \sum_{j_1, \dots, \bar{j}_I, \dots, j_n} \epsilon_{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_n} A_{j_1 1} A_{j_2 2} \cdots \overline{A_{j_I I}} \cdots A_{j_n n} \quad (3.37)$$

( $\bar{A}$  denotes a symbol missed out of natural sequence.) Let  $\sigma \in S_n$  be the permutation which moves  $J_I$  to the  $I$ th position, and leave everything else in its natural order. In all 3 cases, see that  $|I - j_I|$  transpositions are made:

$$\epsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^{I-j_I}$$

Now consider the permutation  $\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \bar{j}_i & \cdots & n \\ j_1 & \cdots & \bar{j}_i & \cdots & \cdots & j_n \end{pmatrix}$   
 $\rho\sigma$  reorders  $(1, \dots, n)$  to  $(J_1, J_2 \dots J_n)$ . So  $\epsilon(\sigma\rho) = \epsilon_{j_1 \dots j_n} = \epsilon(\rho)\epsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^{I-j_I} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots \bar{j}_I \dots j_n}$

$$\begin{aligned} \det A &= \sum_{j_I=1}^b A_{j_I I} \sum_{j_1 \dots \bar{j}_I \dots j_n} (-1)^{I-j_I} \epsilon_{j_1 \dots \bar{j}_I \dots j_n} A_{j_1 1} \cdots \overline{A_{j_I I}} \cdots A_{j_n n} \\ &= \sum_{j_I=1}^n A_{j_I I} (-1)^{I-j_I} M_{j_I I} = \sum_{j_I=1}^n A_{j_I I} \Delta_{j_I I} = \sum_{j_I=1}^n A_{I j_I} \Delta_{I j_I} \end{aligned}$$

This is the *Laplace expansion formula*. So, we can expand on the column/row that is easiest.



## 4 Matrices+ Linear equations

### 4.1 Simple Example, $2 \times 2$

Consider

$$A_{11}x_1 + A_{12}x_2 = d_1$$

$$A_{21}x_1 + A_{22}x_2 = d_2$$

i.e. Solve  $Ax = d$ . Solving it, we have:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\det A} \begin{pmatrix} A_{22} & -A_{12} \\ -A_{21} & A_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

So we have

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A} \begin{pmatrix} A_{22} & -A_{12} \\ -A_{21} & A_{11} \end{pmatrix}$$

### 4.2 Inverses of a square matrix

Consider the matrix B which replaces the  $i$ th row of A with  $I$ th row of A. Then the cofactors expanding on row  $i$  in B is same as those expanding in A. so

$$\det B = B_{ik}\Delta_{ik} = A_{Ik}\Delta_{ik} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq I$$

Seeing this is same for rows and combining this with Laplace expansion formula:

$$A_{ik}\Delta_{jk} = \delta_{ij} \det A \Rightarrow A_{ik} \left( \frac{\Delta_{jk}}{\det A} \right) = \delta_{ij}$$

**Theorem.** If  $\det A \neq 0$  then  $A^{-1}$  exists and is given by

$$(A^{-1})_{ij} = \frac{\Delta_{ji}}{\det A}$$

*Proof.* This immediately follows from previous calculations.  $\square$

**Note.** This calculation method needs  $O(n \cdot n!)$  calculations, so it is highly inefficient.

### 4.3 Homogeneous and Inhomogeneous Equations

Consider

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \quad (4.8)$$

**Definition.** If  $\mathbf{b} = 0$ , the system is *homogeneous*, if  $\mathbf{b} \neq 0$ , the system is *inhomogeneous*.

suppose  $\det A \neq 0$ , then have unique solution

$$\mathbf{x} = A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$$

**Note.** The columns of  $A$  ( $\det A \neq 0$ ) are images of the standard basis. They form a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

#### 4.3.1 Gaussian Elimination

Consider The matrix system of  $n$  variables with  $m$  by  $n$  matrix. ( $m \geq n$ ) Assume  $A_{11}$  is not zero, (otherwise reorder), we use first equation to eliminate  $A_{i1}$  other than  $A_{11}$  and use the remaining second equation to clear out the second column leaving first and second term, and then repeat.

#### Possibilities

- (i) If some equations on the bottom are  $0 = \text{sth}$ , as in  $r(A) < n$ , and if at least one of the remaining constants on the right is non-zero, then it is *inconsistent* (no solutions). The system is *overdetermined*.
- (ii) If  $r(A) = n \leq m$ , then there is a unique solution by back substitution from solving  $ax_n = b$  and working from bottom to top. This system is *determined*.
- (iii) If  $r(A) < n$ , but every constant in equation  $0 = \text{sth}$  is 0, then this system is *consistent* but is *underdetermined*. It has infinitely many solutions and the solutions has dimension  $n - r(A)$ .

**Relation to  $\det A$**  When  $m = n$   $A$  is square and since the reduced form is *upper triangular*, as in everything lower than the diagonal is 0. Similarly, a *lower triangular matrix* has nothing above the diagonal.

$\det A$  is just the product of terms on the diagonal for triangular matrices. Thus: If  $r(A) < n$ , you have  $\det A = 0$ , and if  $r(A) = n$ , then  $\det A \neq 0$ .

## 4.4 Rank of Matrix

Consider linear map  $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ . Recall rank is  $\dim(\text{Im})$ . The matrix  $A$  of  $\alpha$  has the same rank.  $A$  acts on the standard basis in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . They span the image and are the columns for  $A$ .

**Definition.** *Column rank* and *row rank* are defined as maximum number of linearly independent columns and rows respectively.

**Theorem.** Row rank equals to column rank for all matrices.

*Proof.* Let  $r$  be the row rank of  $A$ , and write the biggest set of LI rows as  $\mathbf{v}_1^T, \mathbf{v}_2^T, \dots, \mathbf{v}_r^T$ . Now denote  $i$ th row of  $A$  as  $\mathbf{r}_i^T$ . Every row of  $A$  can be written as a linear combination of the  $\mathbf{v}'$ s. Then looking at each element in  $\mathbf{v}$ , the vectors formed from  $(v_i^T)_j$  for each  $j$  and elements  $i = 1$  to  $r$  is a linear combination of the  $r$  column vectors. Argument works with columns so result follows.  $\square$

## 4.5 Homogeneous problem

Looking at  $A\mathbf{x} = 0$  with square  $A$ , If  $\det A \neq 0$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = 0$  only. So if  $A\mathbf{x} = 0$  and  $\mathbf{x} \neq 0$ , then  $\det A = 0$ .

### 4.5.1 Geometrical Interpretation

Consider a  $3 \times 3$  system with  $r_i^T$  the rows.  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  means:

$$\mathbf{r}_i^T \cdot \mathbf{x} = 0$$

Thus we have 3 planes intersecting, and thus we have three different possibilities:

$$\det A \neq 0 \Rightarrow \text{Unique solution } \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\det A = 0, r(A) = 2/1/0 \Rightarrow \text{Solutions are on a line/plane/whole of } \mathbb{R}^3$$

### 4.5.2 Linear mapping view of $Ax = 0$

Linear map  $\alpha : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \rightarrow \mathbf{x}' = A\mathbf{x}$  The kernel  $k(A)$  has dimension  $n(A)$ .

$n(A) = 0 \Rightarrow A(e_1) \dots A(e_n)$  is a linearly independent set, so Unique solution  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$

$n(A) > 0, r(A) < 3, \Rightarrow \dim(\text{Im}) = n - n(A)$  by extending basis of kernel to basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$

## 4.6 General solution of $Ax = \mathbf{b}$

$$\det A \neq 0 \Rightarrow x = A^{-1}\mathbf{b}$$

$$\det A = 0 \Rightarrow n(A) > 0 \quad r(A) < n$$

If  $\mathbf{b} \notin \text{Im}(A)$ , there is no solution. If  $\mathbf{b} \in \text{Im}(A)$ : at least one solution. The general solution is:

$$x = x_0 + y$$

Where  $y$  is the solution of  $Ax = \mathbf{0}$  and  $x_0$  is a particular solution of  $Ax = \mathbf{y}$ . For  $n(A) > 0$ , then solution has dimension  $n(A)$  (see dimension of  $y$ ), which is infinitely many.

## 5 Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

### 5.1 Preliminaries and definitions

**Definition.** The root  $z = \omega$  has *multiplicity*  $k$  if  $(z - \omega)^k$  is a factor of  $p(z)$  but  $(z - \omega)^{k+1}$  is not.

**Definition.** Consider a linear map  $\alpha : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  with associated matrix  $A$ . Then  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$  is an *eigenvector* of  $A$  if  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$  for some  $\lambda$ .  $\lambda$  is the associated *eigenvalue*. This means that the direction of the eigenvector is preserved by the mapping, but is scaled up by  $\lambda$ .

**Theorem.**  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$  iff

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Clear from definition ( $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$ ) above. □

**Definition.** The *characteristic equation* of  $A$  is  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ . The *characteristic polynomial* of  $A$  is  $p_A(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I)$ .

Note the following:

- (i)  $p_A(\lambda)$  has degree  $n$ . So an  $n \times n$  matrix has  $n$  eigenvalues (accounting for multiplicity).
- (ii) If  $A$  is real, then all eigenvalues are either real or come in complex conjugate pairs.

- (iii) Observe the coefficient of the  $\lambda^{n-1}$  of  $p_A(\lambda)$ . It is  $(-1)^{n-1}(A_{11} + \cdots + A_{nn}) = (-1)^{n-1} \text{tr}(A)$  from definition. But  $c_{n-1}$  is the sum of roots, i.e.  $c_{n-1} = (-1)^{n-1}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n)$ , so

$$\text{tr}(A) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n.$$

Finally,  $p_A(0) = \det(A)$ . Also it is the product of all roots, i.e.  $c_0 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n$ . So

$$\det A = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n.$$

**Definition.** The *eigenspace* denoted as  $E_\lambda$  is the kernel of the matrix  $A - \lambda I$ , i.e. the set of eigenvectors with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

The *algebraic multiplicity*  $M(\lambda)$  of an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  in  $p_A(\lambda) = 0$ . Clearly,  $\sum_\lambda M(\lambda) = n$ . If  $M(\lambda) > 1$ , then the eigenvalue is *degenerate*.

The *geometric multiplicity*  $m(\lambda)$  of an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is the dimension of the eigenspace, i.e. the maximum number of linearly independent eigenvectors with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

The *defect*  $\Delta_\lambda$  of eigenvalue  $\lambda$  is

$$\Delta_\lambda = M(\lambda) - m(\lambda) \geq 0$$

## 5.2 Linearly independent eigenvectors

**Theorem.** Suppose  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  has *distinct* eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n$ . Then the corresponding eigenvectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_n$  are linearly independent.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{x}_n$  are linearly dependent. Then we have nontrivial solution to:

$$d_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + d_2 \mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + d_r \mathbf{x}_r = \mathbf{0}.$$

Suppose this is the shortest non-trivial linear combination that gives  $\mathbf{0}$  (may need to re-order  $\mathbf{x}_i$ ). Now apply  $(A - \lambda_1 I)$  to the whole equation to obtain

$$d_1(\lambda_1 - \lambda_1)\mathbf{x}_1 + d_2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)\mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + d_r(\lambda_r - \lambda_1)\mathbf{x}_r = 0$$

We know that the first term is  $\mathbf{0}$ , while the others are not (since we assumed  $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$  for  $i \neq j$ ). So

$$d_2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)\mathbf{x}_2 + \cdots + d_r(\lambda_r - \lambda_1)\mathbf{x}_r = 0,$$

and we have found a shorter linear combination that gives  $\mathbf{0}$ . Contradiction.  $\square$

**Example.** (i) Consider the reflection  $R$  in the plane with normal  $\mathbf{n}$ . Clearly  $R\mathbf{n} = -\mathbf{n}$ . the eigenvalue is  $-1$  and the eigenvector is  $\mathbf{n}$ . Then  $E_1 = \text{span}\{\mathbf{n}\}$ . So  $M(-1) = m(-1) = 1$ .

If  $\mathbf{p}$  is any vector in the plane,  $R\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}$ . So this has an eigenvalue of 1 and eigenvectors being any vector in the plane. So  $M(1) = m(1) = 2$ . So the eigenvectors form a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

(ii) Consider a rotation  $R$  by  $\theta$  about  $\mathbf{n}$ . Since  $R\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}$ , we have an eigenvalue of 1 and eigenspace  $E_1 = \text{span}\{\mathbf{n}\}$ .

We know there are no other real eigenvalues since rotation changes direction of any other vector. The other eigenvalues turn out to be  $e^{\pm i\theta}$ . if  $\theta \neq 0$ , there are 3 distinct eigenvalues and the eigenvectors form a basis of  $\mathbb{C}^3$ .

**Note.** If  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  has  $n$  distinct eigenvalues, and hence  $n$  linearly independent eigenvectors  $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n$ , then *with respect to this eigenvector basis*,  $A$  is diagonal with entries the eigenvalues.

### 5.3 Transformation matrices

How do the components of a vector or a matrix change when we change the basis?

Let  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$  and  $\{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_2, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n\}$  be 2 different bases of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then we can write

$$\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_j = \sum_{i=1}^n P_{ij} \mathbf{e}_i$$

i.e.  $P_{ij}$  is the  $i$ th component of  $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_j$  with respect to the basis  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ .

Matrix  $P$  has as its columns the vectors  $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_j$  relative to  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$ . So  $P = (\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1 \ \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_2 \ \dots \ \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n)$  and

$$P(\mathbf{e}_i) = \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_i$$

Similarly, we can write

$$\mathbf{e}_i = \sum_{k=1}^n Q_{ki} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_k$$

Substituting this into the equation for  $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_j$ , we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{k=1}^n Q_{ki} \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_k \right) P_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_k \left( \sum_{i=1}^n Q_{ki} P_{ij} \right)$$

But  $\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_1, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_2, \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{e}}_n$  are linearly independent, so this is only possible if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n Q_{ki} P_{ij} = \delta_{kj},$$

which is just a fancy way of saying  $QP = I$ , or  $Q = P^{-1}$ .

### 5.3.1 Transformation law for vectors

**Theorem.** Denote vector as  $\mathbf{u}$  with respect to  $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$  with respect to  $\{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_i\}$ . Then

$$\mathbf{u} = P\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \text{ and } \tilde{\mathbf{u}} = P^{-1}\mathbf{u}$$

*Proof.* This is clear from proof above. □

### 5.3.2 Transformation law for matrix

Consider a linear map  $\alpha : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  with associated  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ . We have

$$\mathbf{u}' = \alpha(\mathbf{u}) = A\mathbf{u}.$$

Denote  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{u}'$  w.r.t basis  $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}$ , and  $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}'$  w.r.t basis  $\{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_i\}$ . Now we have:

$$\mathbf{u}' = A\mathbf{u}P\tilde{\mathbf{u}}' = AP\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}' = P^{-1}AP\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \tilde{A}\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$$

**Theorem.**

$$\tilde{A} = P^{-1}AP.$$

More generally, given  $\alpha : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ , given  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^m$ ,  $\mathbf{x}' \in \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $\mathbf{x}' = A\mathbf{x}$ . We know that  $A$  is an  $n \times m$  matrix. Suppose  $\mathbb{C}^m$  has a basis  $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}$  and  $\mathbb{C}^n$  has a basis  $\{\mathbf{f}_i\}$ . Now change bases to  $\{\tilde{\mathbf{e}}_i\}$  and  $\{\tilde{\mathbf{f}}_i\}$ .

We know that  $\mathbf{x} = P\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$  with  $P$  being an  $m \times m$  matrix, with  $\mathbf{x}' = R\tilde{\mathbf{x}}'$  with  $R$  being an  $n \times n$  matrix. Combining both of these, we have

$$\tilde{A} = R^{-1}AP$$

## 5.4 Similar matrices

**Definition** (Similar matrices). Two  $n \times n$  matrices  $A$  and  $B$  are *similar* if there exists an invertible matrix  $P$  such that

$$B = P^{-1}AP,$$

**Proposition.** Similar matrices have the same trace, determinant, and characteristic polynomial.

*Proof.* Determinant and trace are the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial, so only need to prove last one.

$$\begin{aligned} p_B(\lambda) &= \det(B - \lambda I) \\ &= \det(P^{-1}AP - \lambda P^{-1}IP) = \det(P^{-1}AP - \lambda I) \\ &= \det(P^{-1}(A - \lambda I)P) = \det(A - \lambda I) = p_A(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

□

## 5.5 Diagonalizable matrices

**Definition** (Diagonalizable matrices). An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is *diagonalizable* if it is similar to a diagonal matrix.

The requirement that matrix  $A$  has  $n$  distinct eigenvalues is a *sufficient* condition for diagonalizability as shown above. However, it is *not* necessary.

**Theorem.** Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$ , with  $r \leq n$  be distinct eigenvalues of  $A$ . Let  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_r$  be bases of the eigenspaces  $E_{\lambda_1}, E_{\lambda_2}, \dots, E_{\lambda_r}$  respectively. Then the set  $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^r B_i$  is linearly independent.

*Proof.* Write  $B_1 = \{\mathbf{x}_1^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}_2^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{m(\lambda_1)}^{(1)}\}$ . Then  $m(\lambda_1) = \dim(E_{\lambda_1})$ , and similarly for all  $B_i$ .

Consider the following general linear combination of all elements in  $B$ . Consider the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{j=1}^{m(\lambda_i)} \alpha_{ij} \mathbf{x}_j^{(i)} = 0.$$

The first sum is summing over all eigenspaces, and the second sum sums over the basis vectors in  $B_i$ . Now apply the matrix

$$\prod_{k=1,2,\dots,\bar{K},\dots,r} (A - \lambda_k I)$$

to the above sum, for some arbitrary  $K$ . We obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m(\lambda_K)} \alpha_{Kj} \left[ \prod_{k=1,2,\dots,\bar{K},\dots,r} (\lambda_K - \lambda_k) \right] \mathbf{x}_j^{(K)} = 0.$$

Since  $\mathbf{x}^{(j)}$  are linearly independent, since  $B_k$  is a basis,  $\alpha_{kj} = 0$ . So  $B$  is linearly independent. □

**Proposition.**  $A$  is diagonalizable iff all its eigenvalues have zero defect.



## 5.6 Canonical (Jordan normal) form

**Theorem.** Any  $2 \times 2$  complex matrix  $A$  is similar to exactly one of

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

*Proof.* For each case:

- (i) If  $A$  has two distinct eigenvalues, then eigenvectors are linearly independent. Then we can use  $P$  formed from eigenvectors as its columns
- (ii) If  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$  and  $\dim E_\lambda = 2$ , then  $A = \lambda I$  and  $\tilde{A} = P^{-1}AP = \lambda I$ . Since  $\tilde{A} = A$ ,  $A$  is *isotropic*.
- (iii) If  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$ , then  $A = \lambda I$  and  $\dim(E_\lambda) = 1$ , then  $E_\lambda = \text{span}\{\mathbf{v}\}$ . Now choose basis of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  as  $\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$ . So  $A\mathbf{w} = \alpha\mathbf{v} + \beta\mathbf{w}$ . Hence, if we change basis to  $\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$ , then  $\tilde{A} = P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & \alpha \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$ .

However,  $\tilde{A}$  has eigenvalue  $\lambda$  with algebraic multiplicity 2. From its determinant, we have  $\beta = \lambda$ . To make  $\alpha = 1$ , let  $\mathbf{u} = (\tilde{A} - \lambda I)\mathbf{w}$ . We know  $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$  (Why?). Then

$$(\tilde{A} - \lambda I)\mathbf{u} = (\tilde{A} - \lambda I)^2\mathbf{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{0}.$$

So  $\mathbf{u}$  is an eigenvector of  $\tilde{A}$  with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

We have  $\mathbf{u} = \tilde{A}\mathbf{w} - \lambda\mathbf{w}$ . So  $\tilde{A}\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{u} + \lambda\mathbf{w}$ .

Change basis to  $\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{w}\}$ . Then  $A$  with respect to this basis is  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ .

□

**Proposition.** There exists a similarity transform for every  $n \times n$  matrix such that  $A$  is similar to a matrix  $\tilde{A}$  that satisfies one of the following:

- (i)  $\tilde{A}_{\alpha\alpha} = \lambda_\alpha$ , i.e. the diagonal composes of the eigenvalues.
- (ii)  $\tilde{A}_{\alpha,\alpha+1} = 0$  or  $1$ .
- (iii)  $\tilde{A}_{ij} = 0$  otherwise.

**Theorem** (Cayley-Hamilton Theorem). If  $\det A \neq 0$ , the matrix satisfies its own characteristic equation (constant term interpreted as constant multiples of identity).

*Proof.* We will only prove for diagonalizable matrices here, i.e.  $\exists P$  such that  $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) = P^{-1}AP$ . Note that

$$D^i = (P^{-1}AP)(P^{-1}AP) \dots (P^{-1}AP) = P^{-1}A^iP.$$

Using result above and the fact that similar matrices have the same characteristic polynomial:

$$p_A(D) = p_A(P^{-1}AP) = P^{-1}[p_D(A)]P = P^{-1}[p_A(A)]P$$

However, we also know that  $D^i = \text{diag}(\lambda_1^i, \lambda_2^i, \dots, \lambda_n^i)$ . So

$$p_A(D) = \text{diag}(p_A(\lambda_1), p_A(\lambda_2), \dots, p_A(\lambda_n)) = \text{diag}(0, 0, \dots, 0)$$

So  $0 = p_A(D) = P^{-1}p_A(A)P$  and  $p_A(A) = 0$ . □

**Remark.** (i) If  $A^{-1}$  exists, then we can use this to calculate  $A^{-1}$  from positive powers of A.

(ii)

$$\begin{aligned} e^A &= I + A + \frac{A^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{A^n}{n!} + \dots \\ P^{-1}e^AP &= P^{-1}IP + P^{-1}AP + \frac{P^{-1}A^2P}{2!} + \dots \\ &= I + D + \frac{D^2}{2!} + \dots = \text{diag}[e^{\lambda_1}, e^{\lambda_2}, \dots] \end{aligned}$$

So the exponential can be easily calculated if the matrix is diagonalizable.

## 5.7 Eigenvalues +Eigenvectors of a Hermitian matrix

**Theorem.** The eigenvalues of a Hermitian matrix H are real.

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} H\mathbf{v} &= \lambda\mathbf{v} \\ \mathbf{v}^\dagger H\mathbf{v} &= \lambda\mathbf{v}^\dagger\mathbf{v} \end{aligned}$$

Take hermitian conjugate and we have:

$$\mathbf{v}^\dagger H\mathbf{v} = \lambda^*\mathbf{v}^\dagger\mathbf{v}$$

So we have  $0 = (\lambda - \lambda^*)\mathbf{v}^\dagger\mathbf{v}$  Since  $\mathbf{v}^\dagger\mathbf{v} \neq 0$ ,

$$\lambda = \lambda^*$$

So  $\lambda$  is real. □

**Theorem.** The eigenvectors of Hermitian matrix corresponding to distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} H\mathbf{v}_1 &= \lambda_1 v_1 \\ H\mathbf{v}_2 &= \lambda_2 v_2 \\ \mathbf{v}_2^\dagger H\mathbf{v}_1 &= \lambda_1 v_2^\dagger v_1 \end{aligned}$$

Conjugating that, we have:

$$\mathbf{v}_1^\dagger H\mathbf{v}_2 = \lambda_1 v_1^\dagger v_2$$

But we also have:

$$\mathbf{v}_1^\dagger H\mathbf{v}_2 = \lambda_2 v_1^\dagger v_2$$

Subtracting these and noting that  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ , we have:

$$v_1^\dagger v_2 = 0$$

Same argument with other half of proof to show  $v_2^\dagger v_1 = 0$  □

This means that if there are  $n$  distinct eigenvalues then the eigenvectors form an orthonormal basis. The case of degenerate eigenvalues is more difficult and requires the Gram-Schmidt process.

## 5.8 Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization

Suppose we have a set of  $B = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \mathbf{w}_2, \dots, \mathbf{w}_k\}$  of linearly independent vectors, want to find an orthogonal set.

Define the projection of  $\mathbf{w}$  onto  $\mathbf{v}$  by

$$P_{\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{w})} = \frac{\langle \mathbf{v} | \mathbf{w} \rangle}{\langle \mathbf{v} | \mathbf{v} \rangle} \mathbf{v}$$

We let  $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{w}_1$  Then  $\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{w}_2 - P_{\mathbf{v}_1}(\mathbf{w}_2)$  Repeat this process to eliminate the "projections on each fixed basis vectors to find a new one". Then, we would get orthogonal set of vectors  $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ .

## 5.9 Unitary Transformation and Hermitian Matrices

**Theorem.** Suppose  $U$  is the transformation between one orthonormal basis and a new orthonormal basis, then  $U$  is Unitary.

**Theorem.** An  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrix has precisely  $n$  orthogonal eigenvectors.

## 5.10 Normal Matrices

**Definition.** A *normal matrix* is a matrix that commutes with its own Hermitian conjugate, i.e.

$$NN^\dagger = N^\dagger N$$

Hermitian, real symmetric, skew-Hermitian, real anti-symmetric, orthogonal, unitary matrices are all special cases of normal matrices.

**Proposition.** It can be shown that:

- (i) If  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $N$ , then so is  $\lambda^*$ .
- (ii) The eigenvectors of distinct eigenvalues are orthogonal.
- (iii) A normal matrix can always be diagonalized with an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors.

## 6 Quadratic forms and conics

**Definition.** A *sesquilinear form* is a quantity  $F = \mathbf{x}^\dagger A \mathbf{x} = x_i^* A_{ij} x_j$ . If  $A$  is Hermitian, then  $F$  is a *Hermitian form*. If  $A$  is real symmetric, then  $F$  is a *quadratic form*

**Theorem.** Hermitian forms are real.

*Proof.*  $(\mathbf{x}^\dagger H \mathbf{x})^* = (\mathbf{x}^\dagger H \mathbf{x})^\dagger = \mathbf{x}^\dagger H^\dagger \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^\dagger H \mathbf{x}$ . So  $(\mathbf{x}^\dagger H \mathbf{x})^* = \mathbf{x}^\dagger H \mathbf{x}$ . □

After diagonalization, we have So  $F(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\dagger H \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^\dagger U D U^\dagger \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{x}')^\dagger D \mathbf{x}' = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i |x'_i|^2$ . where  $\mathbf{x}' = U^\dagger \mathbf{x}$ . The eigenvectors are known as the *principal axes*.

### 6.1 Quadrics and conics

**Definition.** A *quadric* is an  $n$ -dimensional surface defined by the zero of a real quadratic polynomial, as in

$$\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} + c = 0$$

$A$  is a real  $n \times n$  matrix while  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  are  $n$ -dimensional column vectors while  $c$  is constant.

Anti-symmetric matrix has  $\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} = 0$ , so we retain the symmetric part of a matrix:

$$S = \frac{1}{2}(A + A^T)$$

$$\mathbf{x}^T S \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^T \mathbf{x} + c = 0$$

S is real and symmetric so diagonalizable using orthogonal matrices:

$$S = Q D Q^T$$

Take  $\mathbf{x}' = Q^T \mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}' = Q^T \mathbf{b}$ . Then we have:

$$\mathbf{x}'^T D \mathbf{x}' + \mathbf{b}'^T \mathbf{x}' + c = 0$$

If S is invertible, then we write

$$\mathbf{x}'' = \mathbf{x}' + \frac{1}{2} D^{-1} \mathbf{b}'$$

This shift eliminates the linear term in  $\mathbf{x}'$ . So we finally have (dropping the superfix,  $k$  is a constant):

$$\mathbf{x}^T D \mathbf{x} = k$$

### 6.1.1 Conic sections ( $n = 2$ )

$$\lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2 = k$$

$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 > 0$  Then the solution is an ellipse coinciding with eigenvectors of S. (obviously  $k$  needs to have the right sign)

$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 < 0$  Solution is hyperbole.

$\lambda_1$  or  $\lambda_2 = 0$  We have to re-shift the origin (return to original  $\lambda_1 x_1'^2 + b_1' x_1' + b_2' x_2' + c = 0$ ) and shift origin according to:

$$x_1'' = x_1' + \frac{b_1'}{2\lambda_1}$$

$$x_2'' = x_2' + \frac{c}{b_2'} - \frac{b_1'^2}{4\lambda_2 b_2'} \quad b_2' \neq 0$$

$x_1'$  term is removed so we are left with a parabola.

$\lambda_1$  or  $\lambda_2 = 0, b_2' = 0$  We have either two straight lines or no solution as other linear term is also eliminated

## 6.2 Focus-directrix property

Consider 2 control parameters:  $e$  the *eccentricity* and  $a$  the *scale*.

**Definition.** Foci  $\equiv (\pm ae, 0)$  two points.

Directrices  $\equiv x \equiv \pm \frac{a}{e}$  two lines.

Conic section is set of points with property that the distance from focus =  $e \times$  distance from directrix which is closer to that focus (unless  $e=1$ , then take distance to other directrix)

$e = 0$  It is a circle. (See ellipse below)

$e < 1$  By definition:

$$((x - ae)^2 + y^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = e\left(\frac{a}{e} - x\right)$$

We have an ellipse with semi-major axis  $a$  and semi-minor axis  $a\sqrt{1 - e^2}$ .

$e = 1$  We have  $y^2 = 4ax$ , which is an equation for the parabola.

$e > 1$  We have an hyperbola. (see ellipse,  $1 - e^2 < 0$ )

This also works in the polar coordinates. Introduce new parameter  $l$ , such that  $\frac{l}{e}$  = distance from focus to directrix. Using the equation, we have  $l = a|1 - e^2|$ .

Use polar coordinates centred on the focus. Using focus-directrix property, we have:

$$r = e\left(\frac{l}{e} - r \cos \theta\right)$$

$$r = \frac{l}{1 + e \cos \theta}$$

This is valid for all  $e$ .

## 7 Transformation Group

### 7.1 Groups of orthogonal matrices

The set of all  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrices  $P$  forms a group  $O(n)$  under matrix multiplication. (See groups) It has a subgroup  $SO(n)$ , which are matrices of  $n \times n$  of determinant 1.

## 7.2 Length Preserving Matrices

Let  $P \in O(n)$ , then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $P$  orthogonal
- (ii)  $|P\mathbf{x}| = |x|$
- (iii) It preserves the scalar product.
- (iv) If  $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$  are orthonormal so are  $(Pv_1, \dots, Pv_n)$ .
- (v) Columns of  $P$  are orthonormal.

*Proof.*

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)

$$|P\mathbf{x}|^2 = (P\mathbf{x})^T P\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T P^T P\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} = |x|^2$$

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)

$$|x|^2 + |y|^2 + 2(Px)^T Py = |Px|^2 + |Py|^2 + 2(Px)^T Py = |P(x+y)|^2 = |x+y|^2 = |x|^2 + |y|^2 + 2x^T y$$

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) If  $v_1^T v_2 = I$ , then clearly from (iii),  $(Pv_1)^T (Pv_2) = I$

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (v) From (iv) and take the set of  $v_n$  as the standard basis, then the columns of  $P$  are  $Pv_1$ , which are orthonormal.

(v)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Columns of  $P$  orthonormal means  $PP^T = I$  so  $P$  is orthogonal.

□

## 7.3 Lorentz Transformation

Consider Minkowski (1+1) dimensional spacetime. The Minkowski inner product of 2 vectors are:

$$\langle x|y \rangle = x^T J y$$

where  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

This follows that:

$$\langle x|y \rangle = x_1 y_1 - x_2 y_2$$

Now consider transformation matrix that preserves the Minkowski inner product:

$$x^T J y = (Mx)^T J M y = x^T M^T J M y$$

$$\Rightarrow J = M^T J M$$

We can show  $M$  is either:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cosh \alpha & \sinh \alpha \\ \sinh \alpha & \cosh \alpha \end{pmatrix} = H_\alpha \text{ (hyperbolic rotation) or } \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \alpha & -\sinh \alpha \\ \sinh \alpha & -\cosh \alpha \end{pmatrix} = K_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \text{ (hyperbolic reflection)}$$

Note that we insist  $M_{11} > 0$ , since if not, we would map future into past (physicists don't like it). Define Lorentz matrix

$$B_v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v \\ v & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Here  $|v| < 1$ , units are chosen such that speed of light is 1. Then we have:

$$B_v = H_{\tanh^{-1}(v)}$$

$B_v$  is called a *Lorentz boost*. The set of all  $B_v$  forms a group (The Lorentz group), easily proven. For  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ , their "addition" is:

$$v_3 = \tanh(\tanh^{-1} v_1 + \tanh^{-1} v_2) = \frac{v_1 + v_2}{1 + v_1 v_2}$$

$B_v$  is a group of transformations that preserve the Minkowski inner product.